

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/656,945	09/05/2003	Alan D. Eskuri	1001.1685101	9009	
28075 7	7590 11/15/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
CROMPTON, SEAGER & TUFTE, LLC 1221 NICOLLET AVENUE SUITE 800			EVANS, GEOFFREY S		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MINNEAPOL	IS, MN 55403-2420	1725			
			DATE MAILED: 11/15/2006	DATE MAILED: 11/15/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/656,945	ESKURI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Geoffrey S. Evans	1725				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	o correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from (136), cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 A	Jugust 2006					
	s action is non-final.	·				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	•	prosecution as to the ments is				
closed in accordance with the practice under the	•					
·						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are pending in the application	1.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 4 and 24 is/are with	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4 and 24</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-23 and 25-34</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc	cepted or b) objected to by th	e Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Offi	ce Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documen		ation No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	• •					
application from the International Burea	•					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ived.				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∭ Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail					
2) \(\sqrt{\text{Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948)} \) 3) \(\sqrt{\text{Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948)} \)	5) Notice of Information					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>20031121,20051105</u> .	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/656,945

Art Unit: 1725

DETAILED ACTION

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1–3,5-23,25-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Shireman et al. in U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0167442 A1. The embodiment that discloses laser soldering includes heating the coil and allowing the heated portion of the coil to flow onto the elongated structural member.

The applied reference has a common inventor with the instant application.

Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

3. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: "Method of making a medical device".

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/656,945 Page 3

Art Unit: 1725

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claims 1-3,5-23,25-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Safarevich in U.S. Patent No. 6,061,595 in view of Omori in Japan Patent No. 58-90,389. Safarevich meets all of the limitations of the claims except Safarveich uses a laser beam to create fusion bonds by melting both workpieces. Omori teaches only melting the workpiece with the lower melting point temperature and flowing the molten material to create a mechanical bond between the workpieces. It would have been obvious to adapt Safarevich in view of Omori to provide this to create mechanical bond without having to expend the necessary energy to melt the workpiece (connector 52) with the higher melting point or larger metal mass, thereby making the bonding process more energy efficient.

Application/Control Number: 10/656,945 Page 4

Art Unit: 1725

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Safarevich et al. in U.S. Patent No. 6,373,024 has a laser welded joint.

8. Applicant is respectfully reminded to update the status of the U.S. Patent Applications listed throughout the specification and identify the applications mentioned on page 19,lines 20-25.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Geoffrey S Evans whose telephone number is (571)-272-1174. The examiner is on a flexible schedule but can normally be reached during the morning hours EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Pat Ryan can be reached on (571)-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is a signed is (571)-273-8300.

GSE

S¢offrey S: Evans Primary Examiner Group 1700